

Suffolk County Department of Health Services Office of Pollution Control

Petroleum Bulk Storage Inspection Form ER-19-058 L

| Facility Name: Northport Middle School | Facility Reference #: 01930 Or Unregistered |
|--|---|
| Facility Address: 12 Middleville Rd, Northport, NY | 1768 |
| Inspection Type: OP Inspection GSR | Re-Inspection (Docket #) Other: |
| Facility Contact: John Lackner | Title: Superintendent of Buildings & Grounds |
| Facility Contact Phone #: (631) 262-6863 | Email: |
| Class A Operator: Peter Distefano | Certification #: FN4-9JK Verified |
| Class B Operator: Peter Distefano | Certification #: FN4-9JK Verified |
| Facility Information Review | Review Date: 02/19/2019 |
| Address/Tax Map Search Yes No Operation Permit Fee: Paid through 06/30/2019 | Additional Facilities at Site: Yes Vo |
| Previous Inspection(s) Reviewed a) Enforcement Action Taken: b) Hearing Notice Docket #: c) Bureau of Enforcement Recommends: Hold | Open Violation Noted: Yes No NA NOV Letter Located and Reviewed: Yes No NA NA NOV Letter Located Attached |
| | No Plan #s: HM89-290R1, HM97-051 # of Dispensers: 2 No Open Plans: Yes ✓ No Plan #s: |
| Facility-Level Information | |
| 1. Is the inspection announced or unannounced? | 1 (announced) 2 (unannounced) |
| 2. Is the registration certificate posted at a conspicuous location at the facility? | Y N 1 (no access) |
| 3. Is the registration information current and correct? | Y N 1 (expired registration) 2 (unregistered facility) 3 (unregistered tank) |
| 4. Does the facility have an as-built diagram? | Y X (not required) 1 (incomplete) |
| 5. Are monitoring/observation wells marked and secured? | Y X (no wells) 1 (not marked) 2 (improperly marked) 3 (not secured) |
| EPA Significant Operational Compliance for Release Prevention | on (RP) and Release Detection (RD): |
| 1) RP&RD in compliance 2) RP violation 5) Not EPA re | n, RD in compliance (X) 3) RP in compliance, RD in violation (2) gulated (6) Not determined |
| Compliance Status: | |
| No violation noted Violations noted | Not determined Existing or pending enforcement actions |
| Inspector: Joseph Worrell Inspection Date: 02 | 2/20/2019 Start Time: 11:45 am End Time: 12:55 pm |



| Facility Reference #: | 01930 | Inspection Date: _ | 02/20/2019 | Inspector: Joseph Worrell |
|-----------------------|-------|--------------------|------------|---------------------------|
|-----------------------|-------|--------------------|------------|---------------------------|

Unregistered Tanks:

| SCDHS TANK# | Product | Capacity | Location | Construction Material | EPA / PBS | Comments |
|----------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|
| TO CONTRACTOR | Total Marie | | V 2 / 10 maio. | (11152)17 | / | |
| | | | | 5011 | / | A STATE OF THE STA |
| | | | | raidtt nivernauter | / | T. Control No. 1 N |
| | | Total Cardinal Card | | 3 | / | acresion Levilla |
| UD | 110411177 28 | | | with the second | / | |
| | | | | 1. 41 | / | CREDISHS (119) Remodulation will |

| Inspection Notes: |
|--|
| - Tank #5 sump sensor in alarm. Sump is dry. Facility operator provided |
| me with a copy of a work order from Aarco to fix the problem. |
| - No access to Tank #7 piping sump. |
| - Dispensers for Tanks 5 & 6 are metal with Bravo Boxes. Operators |
| were unaware of the requirement for cathodic protection and testing. |
| - Suction system. |
| |
| headan A financial |
| |
| The major of the Committee of the Commit |
| |
| A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O |
| 22, both replacement the set planet. The Mark III was III and the set of the |
| Tentod begat taken 5 T stechnissen spiece to 1 k T k K K |
| 3 (unregistered land) |
| Sections the facility have a co-bull, drag and the Complete) |
| (22) year marked) |
| (Danicas Ens) 6 the case of extending \$ [] |
| SPA SUminant Operational Complian a for to leave Presented TSO and incression of an IEEE |
| to the design of the design of the second of |
| remon underlied to [|
| |
| Service Form Company (F) Refundable AST Company Refundable AST |
| |
| PARTICIPATE OF THE PROPERTY OF |



| Tank Informatio | n | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------|
| SCDHS Tank # | / 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| Product Stored | Gasoline | Diesel | #2 Fuel Oil | |
| Tank Volume (Sallon) | 4,000 | 4,000 | 15,000 | |
| Date Installed | 04/11/194 | 04/11/194 | 08/13/194 | 8 18 |
| Applicable Subpart | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 |

| SCDHS Ta | nk# | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
|--|--------|------------|------------------|--------------|-----|
| Fank-Specific Information | | | | | |
| | Y | 1 | 1 | | |
| good working order? | N | <u> </u> | | | |
| Y | | | | | |
| | X | | | / | - |
| 1 (lacks integrity) | 1 | | | | |
| 2 (contains water/debris) | 2 | | | | |
| 3 (no access) | 3 | 7 | | - 1 | |
| 7. For motor fuel tank systems with pressurized piping. | Y | | | | |
| are shear valves properly installed and operable? | N | | | | _ |
| Y | | , | | | |
| | X | / | ✓ | / | |
| 1 (valve inoperable) | 1 | | | | |
| Y N (not present when required) X (no sump; not required) 1 (lacks integrity) 2 (contains water/debris) 3 (no access) 7. For motor fuel tank systems with pressurized piping, are shear valves properly installed and operable? Y N (no shear valve) X (not pressurized piping; not motor fuel) 1 (valve inoperable) 2 (improperly installed) 3 (no access) 8. Was the tank properly closed, or service changed, with pre-notification? Y X (active or out-of-service tank) 1 (improper closure method) 2 (no site assessment performed for Subpart 2 tank at time of closure/change-in-service) 3 (no closure report; not maintained for 3 years) 4 (closure report not submitted) 5 (tank closed without pre-work notification) 9. If the tank system is out-of-service (OOS), is it following all OOS requirements? ASTs may remain OOS for longer than 12 months if another active tank is at the facility. Y / X (active/closed tank) 1 (piping not capped/secured) 2 (vent lines not left open) 3 (not closed after 12 months) 10. Is the facility free of observable spills and have reportable spills been reported? Mark all that apply and describe as needed in the notes/comments section. Y 1 (petroleum in spill bucket) 2 (petroleum in dispenser) 4 (petroleum in dispenser) 4 (petroleum in dispenser) 4 (petroleum in dispenser) 4 (petroleum in the environment) 6 (suspected spill not reported) 8 (spill not reported) 9 (release not reported) 10 (failed spill bucket test not reported) 11 (failed sump test not reported) 12 (betto coded/marked) 13 (not color coded/marked) 14 (active coded/marked) 15 (petroleum in the tank system? Y / N (not color coded/marked) Y (N (not color coded/marked) Y (See applicable questions below) N (see applicable questions below) N (leak detection not required; tank is | 2 | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | |
| Tank-Specific Information 6. Is the dispenser sump present when required and in good working order? Y N (not present when required) 1 (lacks integrity) 2 (contains water/debris) 3 (no access) 7. For motor fuel tank systems with pressurized piping, are shear valves properly installed and operable? Y N (no shear valve) X (not pressurized piping; not motor fuel) 1 (valve inoperable) 2 (improperly installed) 3 (no access) 8. Was the tank properly closed, or service changed, with pre-notification? Y X (active or out-of-service tank) 1 (improper closure method) 2 (no site assessment performed for Subpart 2 tank at time of closure/change-in-service) 3 (no closure report, not maintained for 3 years) 4 (closure report not submitted) 5 (tank closed without pre-work notification) 9. If the tank system is out-of-service (OOS), is it following all OOS requirements? ASTs may remain OOS for longer than 12 months if another active tank is at the facility. Y / X (active/closed tank) 1 (piping not capped/secured) 2 (vent lines not left open) 3 (not closed after 12 months) 10. Is the facility free of observable spills and have reportable spills been reported? Mark all that apply and describe as needed in the notes/comments section. Y 1 (petroleum in spill bucket) 2 (petroleum in tank secondary containment) 5 (petroleum in tank secondary containment) 6 (suspected spill not reported) 10 (failed spill bucket test not reported) 11 (failed spill bucket test not reported) 12 Does the system have the required equipment installed to perform leak detection? Y (see applicable questions below in the spill and the reported) 12 Does the system have the required equipment installed to perform leak detection? Y (see applicable questions below in the spill and the reported of the report | Y | | | | |
| Tank-Specific Information 6. Is the dispenser sump present when required and in good working order? Y N (not present when required) 1 (lacks integrity) 2 (contains water/debris) 3 (no access) 7. For motor fuel tank systems with pressurized piping, are shear valves properly installed and operable? Y N (no shear valve) X (not pressurized piping; not motor fuel) 1 (valve inoperable) 2 (improperly installed) 3 (no access) 8. Was the tank properly closed, or service changed, with pre-notification? Y X (active or out-of-service tank) 1 (improper closure method) 2 (no site assessment performed for Subpart 2 tank at time of closure/change-in-service) 3 (no closure report; not maintained for 3 years) 4 (closure report not submitted) 5 (tank closed without pre-work notification) 9. If the tank system is out-of-service (OOS), is it following all OOS requirements? ASTs may remain OOS for longer than 12 months if another active tank is at the facility. Y / X (active/closed tank) 1 (piping not capped/secured) 2 (vent lines not left open) 3 (not closed after 12 months) 10. Is the facility free of observable spills and have reportable spills been reported? Mark all that apply and describe as needed in the notes/comments section. Y 1 (petroleum in spill bucket) 2 (petroleum in in sump) 3 (petroleum in in sump) 3 (petroleum in the environment) 6 (suspected spill not reported) 8 (spill not reported) 9 (release not reported) 10 (failed spill bucket test not reported) 11 (failed sump test not reported) 11 (failed sump test not reported) 12. Does the system have the required equipment installed to perform leak detection? Y / N (not color coded/marked) Leak Detection (Equipment) 12. Does the system have the required equipment installed to perform leak detection? Y (see applicable questions below) | X | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| | | - | - | , | - |
| | 1 | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | |
| | 4 | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | |
| 5 (tank closed without pre-work notification) | | | | | |
| | Y | | | | |
| following all OOS requirements? ASTs may remain OOS for longer than 12 months if another active | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | - 2 |
| | 3 | | | | |
| 0. Is the facility free of observable spills and have | Y | 1 | / | 1 | |
| | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | |
| 2 (petroleum in sump) | 4 | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | |
| | 6 | - | | - | |
| | 7 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 8 | | | | |
| | 9 | | | | |
| | 10 | | | | |
| | 11 | | | | 1 |
| Is the fill port/tank color coded/marked to identify | Y | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| the product in the tank system? | | - | | , | |
| Y / N (not color coded/marked) | N | | | | |
| | X | | . I I CONTROLLED | | |
| I (incorrectly color coded/marked) | 1 | | | | |
| Leak Detection (Equipment) | | | | | |
| 7 7 | Y | 1 | / | / | |
| Does the system have the required aguinment | 1 | - - | - · | <u> </u> | |
| in talled to markening lands detection? | | 1 | | | |
| in talled to markenin last detections | N | - | | | |
| installed to perform leak detection? Y (see applicable questions below) N | N X | | | | |
| installed to perform leak detection? Y (see applicable questions below) N X (leak detection not required; tank is | | 1 | / | / | |
| installed to perform leak detection? Y (see applicable questions below) N X (leak detection not required; tank is | X | 1 | 1 | ✓ | |

| Part <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> | PB | S Tank | Inspec | tion For | m 10/0 | 1/18 |
|--|--|--------|--------|----------|-----------|------|
| SCDHS Ta | nk# | 5 | 6 | 7 | (A) | |
| Automatic Tank Gauging (ATG) | N/A | | | | 0-7-1-1-1 | |
| 3. Does the ATG meet leak detection standards | Y | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ī | |
| | N | | | | | |
| N | 1 | | | | | |
| 1 (inoperable) | | | | | | |
| 4. Is the ATG set up properly to conduct leak tests? | Y | | | | = | |
| Y / X (unable to confirm) 1 (tests not being performed; not performed) | X | 1 | 1 | ✓ | | |
| at least weekly) | 1 | | | | | |
| 2 (not set up properly to conduct leak tests | 2 | | | | | |
| 3 (measurements do not include portions of | 3 | | | | İ | |
| | 4 | | | | | |
| 5 (no monthly operability records for | 5 | | | | | |
| | 6 | | | | | |
| and no other compliant method used) | | ı | L | L . | 1 | 1 |
| 5. Is the ATG tested annually for proper operation? | Y | | | | | Г |
| Automatic Tank Gauging (ATG) 5. Does the ATG meet leak detection standards (a NWGLDE-listed device meets standards)? Y N 1 (inoperable) 6. Is the ATG set up properly to conduct leak tests? Y/X (unable to confirm) 1 (tests not being performed; not performed at least weekly) 2 (not set up properly to conduct leak tests (e.g., configuration, timing)) 3 (measurements do not include portions of tank that routinely contains petroleum) 4 (no weekly records; not maintained for 3 years) 5 (no monthly operability records for electronic LD; not maintained for 3 years) 6 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category and no other compliant method used) 6. Is the ATG tested annually for proper operation? Y X (Subpart 3 tank system) 1 (alarm not tested) 2 (leak rate/tank size configuration not verified) 3 (battery backup not tested) 4 (float not tested) 5 (communication with console not tested) 6 (no records; not maintained for 3 year) Manual Tank Gauging (MTG) 6. Is manual tank gauging being performed properly? Y 1 (tests not being performed; not performed at least weekly) 2 (tank size not appropriate [>1000 gal.]) 3 (equipment not capable of 1/8" measurement) 4 (no records; not maintained for 3 year) Manual Tank Gauging (MTG) 5 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category and no other compliant method used) Tank Testing 7. Is tank testing conducted within the required time frame? Y / 1 (test not conducted annually) 2 (test report not submitted) 4 (no test report; not maintained until date of next test) 4 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category and no other compliant method used) Line Testing 6. Is line testing conducted within the required time frame? Y / 1 (pressurized piping not tested annually) 2 (non-exempt suction piping not tested within required time frame? Y / 1 (pressurized piping not tested annually) 2 (non-exempt suction piping not tested within required time frame) 3. Is line testing conducted within the required time frame? Y / 1 (pressurized piping not tested annually) 2 (non-exempt suction piping not t | N | | | | | |
| Utomatic Tank Gauging (ATG) Does the ATG meet leak detection standards (a NWGLDE-listed device meets standards)? Y N 1 (inoperable) Is the ATG set up properly to conduct leak tests? Y / X (unable to confirm) 1 (tests not being performed; not performed at least weekly) 2 (not set up properly to conduct leak tests [e.g., configuration, timing]) 3 (measurements do not include portions of tank that routinely contains petroleum) 4 (no weekly records, not maintained for 3 years) 5 (no monthly operability records for electronic LD; not maintained for 3 years) 6 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category and no other compliant method used) Is the ATG tested annually for proper operation? Y N X (Subpart 3 tank system) 1 (alarm not tested) 2 (leak rate/tank size configuration not verified) 3 (battery backup not tested) 4 (float not tested) 5 (communication with console not tested) 6 (no records; not maintained for 3 year) Is manual tank gauging being performed properly? Y 1 (tests not being performed; not performed at least weekly) 2 (tank size not appropriate [>1000 gal.]) 3 (equipment not capable of 1/8" measurement) 4 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) 5 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category and no other compliant method used) ank Testing Is tank testing conducted within the required time frame? Y / 1 (test not conducted annually) 2 (test report not submitted) 3 (no test report; not maintained until date of next test) 4 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category and no other compliant method used) ine Testing Is line testing conducted within the required time frame? Y / 1 (pressurized piping not tested annually) 2 (non-exempt suction piping not tested annually) 2 (non-exempt suction piping not tested annually) 2 (non-exempt suction piping not rested within required time frame) 3 (test report not submitted) 4 (no test report; not maintained until date of next test) 5 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category and no other compliant method used) ine Testing Is line testing conducted within the requi | X | | | | | |
| 1 (alarm not tested) | 1 | | | | | - |
| | 2 | | | - | | |
| 4 (float not tested) | 3 | | | | | - |
| | 4 | | | | | |
| o (no records, not manifement for 5 year) | | | - | | | |
| | <u>5</u> | | | - V | | |
| Manual Tank Gauging (MTC) | N/A | × | × | × | | |
| | | | | • | | |
| | Y | | - | - | | - |
| | 1 | | | | | |
| | 2 | | - | - , | | |
| 3 (equipment not capable of 1/8" measurement) | 3 | | | | | |
| | 4 | | | | | |
| and no other compliant method used) | 5 | | | | | |
| Tank Testing | N/A | • | • | • | | |
| 7. Is tank testing conducted within the required time | Y | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | |
| 2 (test report not submitted) | 2 | | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | | |
| | 4 | | | | | |
| and no other compliant method used) | | | | | | |
| Line Testing | N/A | • | • | • | | |
| 8. Is line testing conducted within the required time | Y | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | 1 | |
| | 3 | | | | | |
| | 4 | | | | | |
| of next test) | 5 | SI VIV | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | N/A | • | • | • | | |
| 1 System Logic movement of the Commence of Street, and the Commence of the Com | Y | | _ | | | |
| 9. Does the facility have adequate inventory records for | | | | - | | |
| metered tanks storing motor fuel/ kerosene that will | | l | | | | |
| metered tanks storing motor fuel/ kerosene that will be sold as part of a commercial transaction? | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| metered tanks storing motor fuel/ kerosene that will be sold as part of a commercial transaction? Y I (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | 1 2 | | | | | |
| metered tanks storing motor fuel/kerosene that will be sold as part of a commercial transaction? Y I (no records; not maintained for 3 years) 2 (no tank bottom water measurements) | 1 2 3 | | | | | |
| metered tanks storing motor fuel/ kerosene that will be sold as part of a commercial transaction? Y 1 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) 2 (no tank bottom water measurements) 3 (equipment not capable of 1/8" measurement) 4 (meter not calibrated) | 1 2 3 4 | | | | | |
| metered tanks storing motor fuel/ kerosene that will be sold as part of a commercial transaction? Y 1 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) 2 (no tank bottom water measurements) 3 (equipment not capable of 1/8" measurement) 4 (meter not calibrated) 5 (no reconciliation of records) | 1 2 3 4 5 | | | | | |
| metered tanks storing motor fuel/ kerosene that will be sold as part of a commercial transaction? Y 1 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) 2 (no tank bottom water measurements) 3 (equipment not capable of 1/8" measurement) 4 (meter not calibrated) 5 (no reconciliation of records) 6 (improper reconciliation) | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | | | _ | | |
| metered tanks storing motor fuel/ kerosene that will be sold as part of a commercial transaction? Y 1 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) 2 (no tank bottom water measurements) 3 (equipment not capable of 1/8" measurement) 4 (meter not calibrated) 5 (no reconciliation of records) 6 (improper reconciliation) Groundwater/Vapor Monitoring | 1 2 3 4 5 6 N/A | • | • | | | |
| metered tanks storing motor fuel/ kerosene that will be sold as part of a commercial transaction? Y 1 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) 2 (no tank bottom water measurements) 3 (equipment not capable of 1/8" measurement) 4 (meter not calibrated) 5 (no reconciliation of records) 6 (improper reconciliation) Groundwater/Vapor Monitoring O. Is there a site assessment report indicating location | 1 2 3 4 5 6 N/A | • | | | | |
| metered tanks storing motor fuel/ kerosene that will be sold as part of a commercial transaction? Y 1 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) 2 (no tank bottom water measurements) 3 (equipment not capable of 1/8" measurement) 4 (meter not calibrated) 5 (no reconciliation of records) 6 (improper reconciliation) Groundwater/Vapor Monitoring O. Is there a site assessment report indicating location and number of groundwater/vapor monitoring | 1 2 3 4 5 6 N/A | • | • | | | |
| metered tanks storing motor fuel/ kerosene that will be sold as part of a commercial transaction? Y 1 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) 2 (no tank bottom water measurements) 3 (equipment not capable of 1/8" measurement) 4 (meter not calibrated) 5 (no reconciliation of records) 6 (improper reconciliation) Groundwater/Vapor Monitoring 0. Is there a site assessment report indicating location and number of groundwater/vapor monitoring wells? Y/N (no report) / I (wells not properly | 1 2 3 4 5 6 N/A Y | • | • | | | |
| metered tanks storing motor fuel/ kerosene that will be sold as part of a commercial transaction? Y 1 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) 2 (no tank bottom water measurements) 3 (equipment not capable of 1/8" measurement) 4 (meter not calibrated) 5 (no reconciliation of records) 6 (improper reconciliation) Groundwater/Vapor Monitoring 20. Is there a site assessment report indicating location and number of groundwater/vapor monitoring wells? Y/N (no report)/I (wells not properly | 1 2 3 4 5 6 N/A Y | • | • | | | |

| SCDIE | т., | | _ | | 7 | | |
|---|---|------|---------------------|--|------|-----|----------|
| | 1 a | | 3 | 6 | 7 | | |
| 20. Is there a site assessment report indicating location and number of groundwater/vapor monitoring | - | Y | | | | | |
| wells? Y / N (no report) / 1 (wells not properly | Su | N | | | | | |
| designed/positioned to detect leaks) | Pipir | 1 | | | | | |
| 2 (GW not always detectable in GW wen | | 2 | | | | | |
| 3 (vapor well affected by GW) | | 3 | | | | | |
| D. Is there a site assessment report indicating location and number of groundwater/vapor monitoring wells? Y/N (no report) / 1 (wells not proper designed/positioned to detect leaks) 2 (GW not always detectable in GW well [GW is more than 20' from surface]) 3 (vapor well affected by GW) 1. Is leak detection being performed? Note that continuous electronic monitoring satisfies weekly requirements (weekly records are not required). Y 1 (not performed; not performed at least weekly) 2 (no weekly records; not maintained for 3 years) 3 (no monthly operability records for electronic LD; not maintained for 3 years) 4 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category and no other compliant method used) 22. Is handheld electronic sampling equipment beint tested annually for operability? Y/ X (electronic sampling equipment not used; Subpart 3 tank system) 1 (not tested annually) 2 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) Interstitial Monitoring (IM) 3. Is the secondary containment in good working on (i.e., double-walled tank, double walled-piping, any sump used for leak detection)? Y N (not tight) 1 (sump contains water/debris) 2 (sump lacks integrity) 3 (no access) 4. Is the sensor operational and, for piping, properly positioned in the sump? Y X (manual monitoring; no access) 1 (inoperable) 2 (sensor not properly positioned in sump) | | Y | | | | | |
| and number of groundwater/vapor monitoring wells? Y/No report) [(wells not proper designed/positioned to detect leaks) 2 (GW not always detectable in GW well [GW is more than 20' from surface]) 3 (vapor well affected by GW) . Is leak detection being performed? Note that continuous electronic monitoring satisfies weekly requirements (weekly records are not required). Y 1 (not performed; not performed at least weekly) 2 (no weekly records; not maintained for 3 years 3 (no monthly operability records for electronic LD; not maintained for 3 years) 4 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category and no other compliant method used) 2. Is handheld electronic sampling equipment beint tested annually for operability? Y/ X (electronic sampling equipment not used; Subpart 3 tank system) 1 (not tested annually) 2 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) Interstitial Monitoring (IM) 3. Is the secondary containment in good working or (i.e., double-walled tank, double walled-piping, anny sump used for leak detection)? Y N (not tight) 1 (sump contains water/debris) 2 (sump lacks integrity) 3 (no access) 4. Is the sensor operational and, for piping, properly positioned in the sump? Y X (manual monitoring; no access) 1 (inot performed; not performed? Note that continuous electronic monitoring satisfies weekl requirements (weekly records are not required). Y 1 (not tight) 1 (sump contains water/debris) 2 (sump lacks integrity) 3 (no access) 5. Is leak detection being performed? Note that continuous electronic monitoring satisfies weekly requirements (weekly records are not required). Y X (manual monitoring; no access) 1 (inot performed; not performed at least weekly cloud weekly records; not maintained for 3 years) 6. Are the probes and sensors inspected annually? Y N X (manual monitoring; Subpart 3 tank system) 1 (not inspected for residual buildup) 2 (float not tested) 3 (vaiually accessible cable not inspected for kinks/breaks) 4 (alarm operability not tested) 5 (communication with console not tested) 5 (communication with | | 1 | | | | | |
| | ank | 2 | | | | | |
| 1 (not performed; not performed at least weekly) | F | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | |
| | - | 1000 | | - | | | |
| 4 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category | - | | | | | | |
| and no other compliant method used) | 50 | | | | | | |
| | Pipir | 2 | | | | | |
| | -[| 3 | | | | | |
| | | 4 | | | | | |
| 22. Is handheld electronic sampling equipment being | | Y | | | | | |
| tested annually for operability? | 1 | X | | | | | |
| | ł | | | | | | |
| Subpart 3 tank system) | 1 | | Y N 1 1 2 3 3 4 4 Y | | - | - | |
| | | L | | | | | |
| 2 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | | | | | | | |
| Interstitial Monitoring (IM) | | N/A | | | | | |
| | r | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| (i.e., double-walled tank, double walled-piping, and | | | · · | | - | | |
| any sump used for leak detection)? | | | | | | | |
| Y N (not tight) 1 (sump contains water/debris) 2 (sump lacks integrity) | Tan | | | | | | |
| | | 2 | | | | | |
| | | 3 | | | | | |
| | | Y | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| | | N | | | | | |
| | gui | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| | Pip | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | × | | |
| 24 () | | 1000 | , | , | 7 | | 7 |
| | theld electronic sampling equipment being mually for operability? To obtain the sampling equipment not used; and system) sted annually or order, and maintained for 3 years) Ital Monitoring (IM) | | | | | | |
| Y | nk | | | | | | |
| | Ta | | | | | | |
| | | 2 | | | | | <u> </u> |
| | levater/vapor monitoring report// 1 (wells not properly and to detect leaks) ectable in GW well 20' from surface]) by GW) sperformed? Note that monitoring satisfies weekly records are not required). performed at least weekly) not maintained for 3 years) lilty records for electronic di for 3 years) of for Subpart/Category liant method used) ic sampling equipment being erability? g equipment not used; n)) intained for 3 years) oring (IM) tinment in good working order mk, double walled-piping, and nk detection)? er/debris) by) oring (IM) tinment in good working order mk, double walled-piping, and nk detection)? er/debris) by) g performed? Note that committed maintained for 3 years) oring (IM) tinment in good working order mk, double walled-piping, and nk detection)? er/debris) by) sig; no access) y positioned in sump) er/debris g performed? Note that committed for 3 years) original and, for piping, properly pip? g; no access) y positioned in sump) er/debris trecords are not required). y positioned in sump) and and, for piping, properly pip? g; no access) y positioned in sump) er/debris trecords are not required). y performed? Note that committed for 3 years) original and, for piping, properly pip? g; no access) y positioned in sump) and and, for piping, properly pip? g; no access) y positioned in sump) | Y | ✓ | ✓ | 1991 | NJ. | 11 |
| | 5.0 | X | | | - | 1 | |
| ±0 | in being performed? Note that tronic monitoring satisfies weekly weekly records are not required). Sed, not performed at least weekly peords; not maintained for 3 years) performed at least weekly peords; not maintained for 3 years) performed at least weekly records are not required. Y | | | | | | |
| any sump used for leak detection)? Y N (not tight) 1 (sump contains water/debris) 2 (sump lacks integrity) 3 (no access) 4. Is the sensor operational and, for piping, properly positioned in the sump? Y X (manual monitoring; no access) 1 (inoperable) 2 (sensor not properly positioned in sump) 5. Is leak detection being performed? Note that continuous electronic monitoring satisfies weekly requirements (weekly records are not required). Y 1 (not performed; not performed at least weekly) 2 (no weekly records; not maintained for 3 years) 3 (no monthly operability records for electronic | 4 | | | | | | |
| 75 Is leak detection being performed? Note that | | - | ./ | 1 | 1 | | |
| | | | \ <u> </u> | - | ¥ | - | |
| requirements (weekly records are not required). | Y | | | | | | |
| any sump used for leak detection)? Y N (not tight) 1 (sump contains water/debris) 2 (sump lacks integrity) 3 (no access) I (sump contains water/debris) 2 (sump lacks integrity) 3 (no access) I (st he sensor operational and, for piping, properly positioned in the sump? Y X (manual monitoring; no access) I (inoperable) 2 (sensor not properly positioned in sump) | - | | | | | | |
| | | 3 | | | | | |
| 3 (no monthly operability records for electronic | | Y | 1 | 1 | ✓ | | 101 |
| LD; not maintained for 3 years) | ng | 1 | | | | | |
| | Pipi | 2 | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| 26. Are the probes and sensors inspected appualls? | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| N | | | | | - | | - |
| | | X | | | | | |
| | ¥ | 1 | | | | | |
| D. Is there a site assessment report indicating location and number of groundwater/vapor monitoring wells? Y/N (no report)/1 (wells not properly designed/positioned to detect leaks) 2 (GW not always detectable in GW well [GW is more than 20' from surface]) 3 (vapor well affected by GW) L. Is leak detection being performed? Note that continuous electronic monitoring satisfies weekly requirements (weekly records are not required). Y 1 (not performed; not performed at least weekly) 2 (no weekly records; not maintained for 3 years) 3 (no monthly operability records for electronic LD; not maintained for 3 years) 4 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category and no other compliant method used) 22. Is handheld electronic sampling equipment being tested annually for operability? Y/ X (electronic sampling equipment not used; Subpart 3 tank system) 1 (not tested annually) 2 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) Interstitial Monitoring (IM) 3. Is the secondary containment in good working ord (i.e., double-walled tank, double walled-piping, are any sump used for leak detection)? Y N (not tight) 1 (sump contains water/debris) 2 (sump lacks integrity) 3 (no access) 4. Is the sensor operational and, for piping, properly positioned in the sump? Y X (manual monitoring; no access) 1 (inoperable) 2 (sensor not properly positioned in sump) 5. Is leak detection being performed? Note that continuous electronic monitoring satisfies weekly requirements (weekly records are not required). Y X (manual monitoring; no access) 1 (inot performed; not performed at least weekly) 1 (not operable) 2 (sensor not properly positioned in sump) 6. Are the probes and sensors inspected annually? Y N X (manual monitoring; Subpart 3 tank system) 1 (not inspected for residual buildup) 2 (float not tested) 3 (visually accessible cable not inspected for kinks/breaks) 4 (alarm operability not tested) 4 (alarm operability not tested) | Tan | 2 | | | | | |
| | 5790 | 3 | 1 | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | - | | | | - |
| Continued next page | | | - | - | | - | - |
| Сонинией нелі раде | | 6 | X | X | X | | |

| SCDHS Ta | nk# | 5 | 6 | 7 | - |
|---|-------------|--|--|-----|--------|
| 6. Are the probes and sensors inspected annually? | Y | | | | |
| Y | N | | | | |
| N | X | | | | |
| X (manual monitoring; Subpart 3 tank system) 1 (not inspected for residual buildup) | | | | | |
| 2 (float not tested) | 1 | | | | |
| 3 (visually accessible cable not inspected for kinks/breaks) | 2 | | | | |
| for kinks/breaks) 4 (alarm operability not tested) | 3 | | | | |
| 5 (communication with console not tested) | 4 | | | | |
| 6 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | 5 | | | | |
| | 6 | × | × | X | |
| | 0700 | ^ | ^ | ^ | _ |
| 27. Are the sump(s) (tank-top, UDC, transition), <u>used</u> for IM, tested triennially for tightness? | Y | | | | |
| Double-walled sumps can instead test the integrity of | X | | | | |
| both walls annually. Piping installed before 4/13/16 | 1 | | | | |
| can perform a line test in lieu of IM for EPA. Y / X (IM not used for piping; Subpart 3 tank system) | 2 | | | | |
| 1 (not tested triennially) | 3 | X | × | × | |
| 2 (integrity of both walls not tested) | | ,, | ,, | ,,, | |
| 3 (no test records; not maintained for 3 years) | 2111 | | | | |
| Automatic Line Leak Detector (ALLD) | N/A | • | • | • | |
| 28. Is the ALLD present and does it appear to be | Y | | | | |
| operational? | N | | | | Page 1 |
| Y N (not present) | | | | | |
| 1 (not operational) | 1 | | | | |
| 2 (no access) | 2 | | | | |
| 29. For Subpart 2 facilities, has the annual functionality | Y | | | | |
| test of the ALLD been conducted, and are records | | | | | |
| available? | N | | | | |
| Y / N (not tested annually) | X | | | | |
| X (Subpart 3 tank system) 1 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | 1 | | | | |
| | N/A | _ | | | |
| Statistical Inventory Reconciliation (SIR) | *********** | • | • | • | |
| 30. Is SIR being performed properly? | Y | | | | |
| Y 1 (SIR method does not meet standards | 1 | | | | |
| [NWGLDE-listed meets standards]) 2 (not performed; not performed at least weekly) | 2 | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | |
| 3 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | 4 | | | | |
| 4 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category and no other compliant method used) | _ | | | | - |
| and no other comprision memora accus | Y | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | |
| P.P. Ding | 2 | | | | 100 |
| <u> </u> | 3 | | | | |
| | 4 | | | | |
| W. T. I. | | _ | | | |
| Weep Holes | N/A | • | • | • | |
| 31. Are all weep holes visible and are they free of | <u>Y</u> | | | | |
| obstructions? | 1_1_ | 1 | + | | |
| Y / 1 (not visible) / 2 (obstructed) | 2 | | | - | |
| 32. Is leak detection being performed? | Y | ļ | _ | | |
| 1 (not performed; not performed at least weekly) | 1 | | | | |
| 2 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | 2 | | | | 117 |
| 3 (inappropriate method for Subpart/Category | 3 | - | | | |
| and no other compliant method used) Subpart 2 UST Systems | N/A | 1 | | | |
| | 1 | , | , | | |
| 33. Does the Category 2/3 tank have a fill port label? | Y | / | / | | |
| Y N | N | | | | |
| X (Cat.1 tank) | X | | | | |
| 1 (incomplete label) | | | - | | |
| * 1 | 1 | - | | | |
| 34. Is the spill bucket present and functional? | Y | 1 | ✓ | | |
| Y N (not present when required) | N | | | | |
| N (not present when required) X (tank receives ≤ 25 gal. at a time) | X | 1 | T | | |
| 1 (contains water/debris) | | | | 1 | |
| 2 (lacks integrity) | 1 | | | | |
| 3 (no access) | 2 | ļ | 1 | | |
| 1 | 3 | | | | 8 |
| | ** | | | | |
| 35. Is the spill bucket tested triennially for tightness? | Y | | | | |
| 35. Is the spill bucket tested triennially for tightness? Double-walled spill buckets can instead monitor the | | | | | |
| Double-walled spill buckets can instead monitor the interstice monthly. | X | | _ | | |
| Double-walled spill buckets can instead monitor the interstice monthly. Y / X (no spill bucket) / 1 (not tested triennially) | X 1 | | | | |
| Double-walled spill buckets can instead monitor the interstice monthly. | X | | | | |

| | SCDHS ' | | | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
|-----|---|-------------|----------|--------------|----------|-------|------|---------------|
| 36. | Is the overfill prevention device (i.e., automatic shut off, high-level alarm, ball float valve) present and | - | Y | ✓ | ✓ | | - | |
| | functional? | - | N | | | | | |
| | Y / N (not present) X (tank receives ≤ 25 gal. at one time) | | <u>X</u> | | | | ļ | |
| | 1 (cannot verify) | | 1 | | | | | |
| If | automatic shutoff or high-level alarm is not function | <u>ıl</u> : | 2 | | | | | |
| | 2 (not set at appropriate level) 3 (alarm not audible/visible to driver) | | 3 | | | | | |
| | 4 (inoperable) | T | 4 | | | | | |
| Ift | pall float valve is not functional: | İ | 5 | | | | | - |
| | 5 (Stage I coaxial vapor recovery is present) 6 (piping system is suction) | ł | 6 | | | | ļ | + |
| | 7 (spill bucket drain valve broken/impaired by | ŀ | 7 | | | | - | |
| 27 | debris) | + | | | | | - | |
| 3/. | Is the overfill prevention device inspected triennially and are records being maintained? | ' | Y | | | | - | - |
| | Y | - | N | | | | | |
| | N (not inspected) | | X | | | | | |
| | X (not present) 1 (not inspected for being set at appropriate level) | | 1 | | | | | - |
| | 2 (not inspected for activating at appropriate level) | ſ | 2 | | 100 | - Y | | |
| | 3 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | Ī | 3 | × | × | | | |
| 38 | Does the Cat. 2/3 tank and Cat. 3 piping have | 7 | Y | 1 | 1 | | - 10 | |
| | secondary containment installed and is it tight? | r | N | | · · | | | + |
| | This includes any sump used as part of the piping | | | | | | | + |
| | secondary containment which would capture a leak from the primary piping. | Fank | X | | | | | |
| | Y | - | _1 | | | | | |
| | N (no appropriate secondary containment) X (Cat. 1 tank; Cat. 1/2 piping) | | 2 | | | | | |
| | 1 (not tight) | | 3 | | | | | |
| | 2 (sump lacks integrity) | | Y | | | | | |
| | 3 (no access) | | N | | | | | |
| | | | X | 1 | 1 | | | |
| | | Piping | 1 | | | | _ | |
| | | - | 2 | | | | - | |
| | | - | | | | | - | |
| 20 | | 4 | 3 | - | | | - | - |
| 39. | Was the metal tank system, in contact with soil, | - | <u>Y</u> | | | | | |
| | installed with a cathodic protection system? Category I tanks must have installed a cathodic | × | X | / | ✓ | | | |
| | protection system or lining by 12/22/98. | Tank | 1 | | | | | |
| | Y X (inherently corrosion-resistant) | | 2 | | | | | |
| | 1 (does not have CP installed or Cat. 1 tank | ſ | Y | | | | | |
| | has no CP or lining) | DE | X | 1 | | | | 1 |
| | 2 (portion of piping [including fittings, connectors, etc.] not protected from corrosion) | in | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 |
| | eter, not protected from corresion) | - | 2 | | | | | 1 |
| 10 | To also controlled acceptanting a control to a to discount and a controlled acceptanting and a controlled acceptanting and a controlled acceptanting and a controlled acceptanting and a controlled acceptanting and a controlled acceptanting | , | 37770 | - | - | | 1 | + |
| μυ. | Is the cathodic protection system tested annually and is it providing continuous protection? | 1 | Y | - , | | | - | - |
| | Υ | - | X | 1 | ✓ | | - | - |
| | X (no CP system installed) 1 (system not tested annually) | ž. | _1 | | | | | |
| | 2 (inadequate monitoring – not enough readings) | Tank | 2 | | | | | |
| | 3 (minimum protection not provided as | - | 3 | | | | | |
| | indicated on test) 4 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | | 4 | | | = 141 | | |
| | (revolus, not maintained for 5 years) | Ì | Y | | | | | |
| | | t | X | T | | | 1 | |
| | | | 1 | × | × | | 1 | 7 |
| | | Piping | | +^- | -^- | | - | |
| | | Z. | 2 | | | | | |
| | | - | 3 | | | | | |
| _ | | | 4 | | | | 1 | |
| 41. | If an impressed current system is in use, has the | - | Y | | | | | |
| | system been operated continuously? | | X | ✓ | 1 | | | er occusionic |
| | X (no impressed current system) | - [| 1 | | | | | |
| | 1 (rectifier is not operational) 2 (rectifier does not have electrical news 24/7) | 1 | 2 | | | | | |
| | 2 (rectifier does not have electrical power 24/7) 3 (clock shows that power has been turned off) | 1 | 3 | T | | | | |
| | 4 (not inspected every 60 days) | 1 | 4 | 1 | | | | |
| | 5 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | + | | - | | | - | - |
| 10 | | - | 5 | - | - | - | | + |
| 42. | For lined Cat. 1 USTs, is the internal lining being | - | Y | | ļ | | - | |
| | inspected periodically (i.e., within 10 years after installation and every 5 years thereafter)? | | N | | | | | |
| | Y / N (no inspection) | | | | | | | |
| 10 | ontinued next page | | | | | | | |

| | | nk# | 5 | 6 | 7 | | <u> </u> |
|--|--|--|----------|----------|--------------|---|----------|
| Co | | X | ✓ | 1 | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | 2 | | | | | |
| | 2 (inspection procedure not acceptable) | 3 | | | | | 1 |
| X (UST not lined; Cat. 2/3 UST; lining installed w/CP) 1 (operating with failed lining) 2 (inspection procedure not acceptable) 3 (no report; not maintained for 5 years) 3. If a cathodically protected tank or piping was structurally repaired, were CP systems tested/inspected within 6 months after repair? Y/N X (no CP system/structural repair) 4. Were structurally repaired tank and piping tested for tightness within 30 days after repair completion? A tightness test is not required when an internal inspection is conducted after a repair or if a weekly leak detection method is in use. Y/N X (no structural repair; internal inspection performed; weekly LD used) 5. Is there a designated Class A Operator and is that person properly authorized? Y/N (no authorized Operator) 1 (current authorized Class A Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 6. Is there a designated Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. In or trained Operator) 1 (no records; not designated) 4. Does the Category 3 tank system have an installer certification and manufacturer's checklist (only applies to tank and piping)? Y/X (Category) or 2 system) 1 (no installer certification) 2 (no manufacturer's checklist or PE inspection & certification) 9. Did the facility conduct monthly and annual walkthrough inspection not performed or inadequate) 2 (annual inspection not performed or inadequate) 3 (code of practice not followed) 4 (no monthly-walkthrough records; not maintained for 1 year) 5 (no annual-walkthrough records; not maintained for 1 year) 5 (no annual-wal | | | | | | 1 | |
| 43. If sin 43. If sin 44. With the sin 45. Is 46. Is 47. Is 48. c 48. c 49. E 49. E 50. Is 50. Is 50. Is 50. Is 50. Is 50. Is 50. Is 50. Is 50. Is 50 | If a cathodically protected tank or nining was | Y | | | | | T |
| Э. | | | | | | | - |
| | SCDHS Tan Continuation of 42 X (UST not lined; Cat. 2/3 UST; lining installed w/CP) 1 (operating with failed lining) 2 (inspection procedure not acceptable) 3 (no report; not maintained for 5 years) 3. If a cathodically protected tank or piping was structurally repaired, were CP systems tested/inspected within 6 months after repair? Y/N X (no CP system/structural repair) 4. Were structurally repaired tank and piping tested for tightness within 30 days after repair completion? A tightness test is not required when an internal inspection is conducted after a repair or if a weekly leak detection method is in use. Y/N X (no structural repair; internal inspection performed; weekly LD used) 5. Is there a designated Class A Operator and is that person properly authorized? Y/N (no authorized Operator) 1 (current authorized Class A Operator is not designated)/2 (no records) 6. Is there a designated Class B Operator is not designated)/2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class B Operator is not designated)/2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class C Operator is not designated)/2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class C Operator is not designated)/2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Operator) 1 (current authorized Cperator) 1 (no records; not designated) 48. Does the Category 3 tank system have an installer certification and manufacturer's checklist (only applies to tank and piping)? Y/X (Category I or 2 system) 1 (no installer certification) 2 (no manufacturer's checklist or PE inspection & certification) 9. Did the facility conduct monthly and annual walkthrough inspections? If a code of practice is followed, it must be followed in its entirety (e.g., daily inspections). Y I (monthly inspection not performed or inadequate) 2 (annual inspection not performed or inadequate) 3 (code of practice not followed) 4 (no monthly-walkthrough records; not maintained for 1 year) O. Is the facility complying with financial responsibility? Y/N Subpart 3 UST Systems 1. Does the Category 2/3 tank | N | | | | | |
| | | X | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| | A (110 CF system structural repair) | | | | | | |
| 4. | Were structurally repaired tank and piping tested for | Y | | | | | |
| | | N | | | | | |
| | | X | / | 1 | | | 1 |
| | leak detection method is in use. | | | | | | |
| | APPENDIT TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON OF T | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | V | 1 | 1 | | | T |
| | | | | | | | |
| Continuation of 42 X (UST not lined; Cat. 2/3 UST; lining installed w/CP) 1 (operating with failed lining) 2 (inspection procedure not acceptable) 3 (no report; not maintained for 5 years) 3. If a cathodically protected tank or piping was structurally repaired, were CP systems tested/inspected within 6 months after repair? Y/N X (no CP system/structural repair) 4. Were structurally repaired tank and piping tested for tightness within 30 days after repair completion? A tightness test is not required when an internal inspection is conducted after a repair or if a weekly leak detection method is in use. Y/N X (no structural repair; internal inspection performed; weekly LD used) 15. Is there a designated Class A Operator and is that person properly authorized? Y/N (no authorized Operator) 1 (current authorized Class A Operator is not designated)/2 (no records) 16. Is there a designated Class B Operator and is that person properly authorized? Y/N (no authorized Operator) 1 (current authorized Class B Operator is not designated)/2 (no records) 17. Is there a designated Class B Operator and is that person properly trained? Y/N (no trained Operator) 1 (no records; not designated) 48. Does the Category 3 tank system have an installer certification and manufacturer's checklist (only applies to tank and piping)? Y/X (Category) or 2 system) 1 (no installer certification) 2 (no manufacturer's checklist or PE inspection & certification) 19. Did the facility conduct monthly and annual walkthrough inspections? If a code of practice is followed, it must be followed in its entirety (e.g., daily inspections). Y I (monthly inspection not performed or inadequate) 3 (code of practice not followed) 4 (no monthly-walkthrough records; not maintained for 1 year) 50. Is the facility complying with financial responsibility? Y/N Subpart 3 UST Systems 51. Does the Category 2/3 tank have a fill port label? Y N (cat 1 tank) 1 (incomplete label) 52. Does the Category 2/3 tank have an overfill prevention device (i.e., automatic shut-off | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 2000000 | | | |
| | SCDHS Ta imuation of 42 (UST not lined; Cat. 2/3 UST; lining installed w/CP) (operating with failed lining) (inspection procedure not acceptable) (no report; not maintained for 5 years) a cathodically protected tank or piping was mucturally repaired, were CP systems tested/ ispected within 6 months after repair? // N (no CP system/structural repair) // Cathology system/structural repair) // Cathology system/structural repair or if a weekly spection is conducted after a repair or if a weekly sak detection method is in use. // N (no structural repair; internal inspection performed; weekly LD used) | _ | -0.0 | | j., | | |
| 6. | inuation of 42 (UST not lined; Cat. 2/3 UST; lining installed w/ CP) (operating with failed lining) (inspection procedure not acceptable) (no report; not maintained for 5 years) a cathodically protected tank or piping was ructurally repaired, were CP systems tested/ spected within 6 months after repair? /N (no CP system/structural repair) ere structurally repaired tank and piping tested for ghtness within 30 days after repair completion? A ghtness test is not required when an internal spection is conducted after a repair or if a weekly ask detection method is in use. /N (no structural repair; internal inspection performed; weekly LD used) there a designated Class A Operator and is that erson properly authorized? /N (no authorized Operator) (current authorized Class B Operator is not esignated) / 2 (no records) there a designated Class B Operator and is that erson properly authorized? /N (no authorized Operator) (current authorized Class B Operator is not esignated) / 2 (no records) there a designated Class B Operator is not esignated) / 2 (no records) there a designated Class C Operator and is that erson properly trained? /N (no installer certification) there a designated Class C Operator and is that erson properly trained? /N (no trained Operator) (no records; not designated) Does the Category 3 tank system have an installer ertification and manufacturer's checklist (only opplies to tank and piping)? /N (Category 1 or 2 system) (no installer certification) (in de facility conduct monthly and annual alkthrough inspections). (monthly inspection not performed or inadequate) (annual inspection not performed or inadequate) (annual inspection not performed or inadequate) (annual inspection not performed or inadequate) (annual inspection not performed or inadequate) (annual inspection not performed or inadequate) (annual-walkthrough records; not maintained for 1 year) the facility complying with financial responsibility? /N (no annual-walkthrough records; not maintained for lyear) (clar facility c | Y | ✓ | 1 | | | |
| | SCDHS Ta mutation of 42 (UST not lined; Cat. 2/3 UST; lining installed w/CP) (operating with failed lining) (inspection procedure not acceptable) (no report; not maintained for 5 years) a cathodically protected tank or piping was ucturally repaired, were CP systems tested/ spected within 6 months after repair? /N (no CP system/structural repair) ere structurally repaired tank and piping tested for phtness within 30 days after repair completion? A phtness test is not required when an internal spection is conducted after a repair or if a weekly ak detection method is in use. /N (no structural repair; internal inspection performed; weekly LD used) there a designated Class A Operator and is that trson properly authorized? /N (no authorized Operator) (current authorized Class A Operator is not signated) / 2 (no records) there a designated Class B Operator and is that trson properly authorized? /N (no authorized Operator) (current authorized Class B Operator is not signated) / 2 (no records) there a designated Class B Operator and is that trson properly trained? /N (no installer cretification) (no records; not designated) Does the Category 3 tank system have an installer trification and manufacturer's checklist (only pilies to tank and piping? /X (Category 1 or 2 system) (no installer certification) (no manufacturer's checklist or PE inspection & certification) d the facility conduct monthly and annual alkthrough inspections? If a code of practice is illowed, it must be followed (no monthly-walkthrough records; not maintained for 1 year) (no annual-walkthrough records; not maintained for 1 year) (no annual-walkthrough records; not maintained for 1 year) (no annual-walkthrough records; not maintained for 1 year) (the facility complying with financial responsibility? /N (not present) (tank receives ≤ 25 gal. at one time) (cat. 1 tank) (incomplete label) Does the Category 2/3 tank have a noverfill evention for thigh-level alarm is not functional? (not set at appropriate level) (alarm not audible/visible | N | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| 7 | designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class C Operator and is that person properly trained? Y / N (no trained Operator) 1 (no records; not designated) 48. Does the Category 3 tank system have an installer certification and manufacturer's checklist (only | 100000 | | - | | | + |
| 1. | | | | · · | | | |
| person properly trained? Y/ N (no trained Operator) 1 (no records; not designated) 48. Does the Category 3 tank system have an installer certification and manufacturer's checklist (only applies to tank and piping)? Y/X(Category 1 or 2 system) | | | | | | - | |
| | 1 (no records; not designated) | | | | | | |
| certification and manu applies to tank and pip | | Y | | | | | |
| | | X | 1 | 1 | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | |
| | 1 (no installer certification) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | * 7 | | , | | | 1 |
| 1 2 2 19. D | | | - | · · | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 2 | | | | | |
| | and the contract of the contra | 3 | | | | | |
| | 2 (annual inspection not performed or inadequate) | 4 | | | | | |
| | | 5 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 5 (no annual-walkthrough records; not | | | | | | |
| | | X | | | | | |
| P | | Y | | | | | |
| | Y/N | N | × | X | | | |
| S | ubpart 3 UST Systems | N/A | • | • | | | |
| | | Y | | | 1 | | |
| | Y | ank system have an installer acturer's checklist (only ng)? System) ion) monthly and annual s? If a code of practice is lowed in its entirety (e.g., 2 | | | | | |
| | | | | | Apparent and | | |
| | rson properly trained? / N (no trained Operator) no records; not designated) Does the Category 3 tank system have an installer rtification and manufacturer's checklist (only plies to tank and piping)? / X (Category 1 or 2 system) no installer certification) (no manufacturer's checklist or PE inspection & certification) d the facility conduct monthly and annual alkthrough inspections? If a code of practice is slowed, it must be followed in its entirety (e.g., illy inspections). (monthly inspection not performed or inadequate) annual inspection not performed or inadequate) (no monthly-walkthrough records; not maintained for 1 year) (monthly walkthrough records; not maintained for 1 year) the facility complying with financial responsibility? / N Papart 3 UST Systems The facility complying with financial responsibility? (Cat. 1 tank) incomplete label) Does the Category 2/3 tank have an overfill evention device (i.e., automatic shut-off, high-vel alarm, ball float valve) and is it functional? / N (not present) | | | | | | |
| _ | | N | | | | | |
| 2. | | Y | | | ✓ | | |
| | | N | | | | | |
| | Y / N (not present) | X | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | |
| Ιf | | | | | | | |
| -1 | 2 (not set at appropriate level) | | | | | | + |
| | | | | | | - | |
| Ιf | | | | | | | - |
| 4 | | 5 | | | | | |
| | | 6 | | | | | |
| 3. | Does the Cat. 2/3 tank have secondary containment | Y | | | ✓ | | |
| | installed and is it tight? | | | | | | |
| | installed and is it tight? | | | | | - | |
| | X (UST not lined; Cat. 2/3 UST; lining installed w/CP) I (operating with failed lining) 2 (inspection procedure not acceptable) 3 (no report; not maintained for 5 years) If a cathodically protected tank or piping was structurally repaired, were CP systems tested/inspected within 6 months after repair? Y/N X (no CP system/structural repair) Were structurally repaired tank and piping tested for tightness within 30 days after repair completion? A tightness test is not required when an internal inspection is conducted after a repair or if a weekly leak detection method is in use. Y/N X (no structural repair; internal inspection performed; weekly LD used) 5. Is there a designated Class A Operator and is that person properly authorized? Y/N (no authorized Operator) 1 (current authorized Class A Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 6. Is there a designated Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class C Operator and is that person properly authorized? Y/N (no authorized Operator) 1 (current authorized Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class C Operator and is that person properly trained? Y/N (no authorized Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class C Operator and is that person properly trained? Y/N (no authorized Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class C Operator and is that person properly trained? Y/N (no authorized Class B Operator is not designated) / 2 (no records) 7. Is there a designated Class C Operator and is that person properly trained? Y/N (category 1 or 2 system) 1 (no installer certification) 2 (no manufacturer's checklist or PE inspection & certification and manufacturer's checklist or PE inspection & certification in the person properly trained? Y/N (Category 1 or 2 system) 1 (no installer certification) 2 (no formation of the person properl | Y | | | | | 1 |
| | | X 1 | | | | | - |

PBS Tank Inspection Form 10/01/18

| SCDHS Ta | nk | (# Г | 5 | 6 | 7 | Τ | | |
|---|----------|--------|-----------|---|----------|----|----|---------|
| | _ | Y | | | | | | |
| installed with a cathodic protection system? | _ | X | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| v ži | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| | | 2 | | | | +- | | - |
| tank/piping; not in contact with soil) 1 (does not have CP installed) 2 (portion of piping [including fittings, connectors, etc.] not protected from corrosion) 5. Is the cathodic protection system tested annually and is it providing continuous protection? | _ | | _ | | | - | + | |
| 2 (portion of piping [including fittings, connectors, | | Y | | | | +- | | |
| 4. Was the metal tank system, in contact with soil, installed with a cathodic protection system? Y X (inherently corrosion-resistant; Cat. 1 tank/piping; not in contact with soil) 1 (does not have CP installed) 2 (portion of piping [including fittings, connectors etc.] not protected from corrosion) 5. Is the cathodic protection system tested annually at is it providing continuous protection? Y X (no CP system installed) 1 (system not tested annually) 2 (inadequate monitoring – not enough readings) 3 (minimum protection not provided as indicated on test) 4 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) Subpart 4 AST Systems 56. For Cat. 2 and 3 ASTs, does the AST meet standards? Y X (Cat. 1 AST) 1 (tank does not meet construction standards) 2 (no surface coating) 3 (tank on grade w/o impermeable barrier) 4 (no leak detection between tank & barrier) 57. Was the metal tank system, in contact with soil, installed with a cathodic protection system? Y X (inherently corrosion-resistant; Cat. 1 tank/piping; not in contact with soil) 1 (does not have CP installed) 2 (portion of piping [including fittings, connecto etc.] not protected from corrosion) 58. Is the cathodic protection system tested within the required time frame and is it providing continuo protection? Y X (no CP system installed) 1 (system not tested annually) | | X | | | 1 | _ | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | 2 | | | | | | |
| In the cathodic protection system tested annually and | Г | Y | | | | | | |
| is it providing continuous protection? | - | X | | | 1 | | | |
| V | - | - | | | <u> </u> | + | - | |
| X (no CP system installed) | _ | 1 | | | | - | | |
| 2 (inadequate monitoring – not enough readings) | _ | 2 | | | | _ | | |
| 3 (minimum protection not provided as | | 3 | | | | | | 1 |
| | | 4 | | | | | | |
| 4 (no records, not maintained for 3 years) | r | Y | | | | | | |
| | - | X | | - | 1 | _ | | |
| | - | | | + | <u> </u> | | - | 1 |
| riping | - | 1 | | | - | - | | |
| P. P. | L | 2 | | | | _ | | |
| | | 3 | Value and | | | | - | |
| 6. For Cat. 2 and 3 ASTs, does the AST meet standards? | T | 4 | | | | | | |
| Subport 4 AST Systems | 1 | N/A | • | | | 1 | 07 | 1 |
| | Т | Y | | - | - | | - | |
| | 1 | | | + | - | + | | _ |
| | - | X | | - | - | - | | |
| X (Cat. 1 AST) | | 1 | | | | _ | | |
| 1 (tank does not meet construction standards) | | 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 (tank on grade w/o impermeable barrier) | | 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 (no leak detection between tank & barrier) | 1 | 4 | | | | | | |
| The state of the second section of the second | + | Y | | | | | | 17 |
| /. Was the metal tank system, in contact with soil, | - | | | | - | + | | |
| Y | M N | X | | _ | | - | | |
| A (limetently corresion resistant, can | = | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | 2 | | | | | | |
| 2 (portion of piping fineluding fittings, connectors, | | Y | | | | | | |
| | | X | | | | | | |
| | i ibiiig | 1 | | | | _ | | - |
| <u> </u> | - | | | | | - | | - |
| | | 2 | | | - | - | | - |
| 8. Is the cathodic protection system tested within the | | Y | | | | | | _ |
| required time frame and is it providing continuous | | X | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | |
| X (no CP system installed) | Tank | 2 | 1 | | | | | |
| 1 (system not tested annually) | • | 3 | | | | - | | 1 |
| (inadequate monitoring – not enough readings) (minimum protection not provided as indicated) | | | - | | | | | + |
| on test) | | 4 | | _ | - | _ | | + |
| 4 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | į | Y | | | | | | _ |
| | | X | - | | | | | 1 |
| | D.E | 1 | | | | | | |
| | Piping | 2 | 1 | | | | | |
| w u | - | 3 | - | | _ | | | + |
| | | | - | - | - | | | + |
| | | 4 | - | | + | | | + |
| 59. If an impressed current system is in use, has the | | Y | _ | _ | | | | 1 |
| system been operated continuously? | | X | | | | | | 1 |
| Y X (no impressed current system) | | 1 | | | | | | |
| 1 (rectifier is not operational) | | 2 | 1 | | | | | |
| 2 (rectifier does not have electrical power 24/7) | | 3 | + | | - | | | |
| 3 (clock shows that power has been turned off) 4 (not inspected every 60 days) | | - | - | | | | - | + |
| 5 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | | 4 | | | | | | + |
| 5 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | | 5 | | | | | | \perp |
| | | Y | | | | | | |
| 60 For ASTs >10,000 gallons, is the secondary | | | | | | | | - 1 |
| 60. For ASTs ≥10,000 gallons, is the secondary containment adequately designed and in good | | N | _ | + | - | | † | 1 |
| 60. For ASTs ≥10,000 gallons, is the secondary containment adequately designed and in good condition? | | N X | | | | | | |
| 60. For ASTs ≥10,000 gallons, is the secondary containment adequately designed and in good condition? Y/N (no secondary containment) | | - | - | - | + | - | | - |
| 60. For ASTs ≥10,000 gallons, is the secondary containment adequately designed and in good condition? | | X | | | | | | |

| SCDHS Tan | k# | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
|---|----------|---|---|---|---|-----|
| For ASTs < 10,000 gallons that are within 500 feet of | Y | | 1 | | | |
| a sensitive receptor, is the secondary containment adequately designed or is the tank using alternatives | N | | | | | |
| which address DER-25 issues? | X | | | | 5 | |
| Y / N (no secondary containment/alternative | 1 | | | | | |
| equipment) X (not required/applicable) | 2 | | | - | | |
| 1 (secondary containment lacks integrity/ | 3 | | | | | |
| equipment not maintained) , | - | | | | | |
| 2 (contains water/debris) 3 (inadequate design/DER-25 issues not addressed) | | | | | | |
| | */ | I | | | 1 | |
| Are dike drain valves locked in a closed position? | <u>Y</u> | | | | | |
| Y N (unlocked) | N | | | | | - |
| X (no dike/discharge pipe) | X | | | | | |
| 1 (no valve on discharge pipe) | 1 | | | | | |
| Does the AST have a gauge, high-level alarm, high- | Y | | | | | |
| level liquid pump cut-off controller, or an equivalent | N | | | | | |
| device? | 1 | | | | | |
| Y / N / 1 (inoperable) | Y | | | | | |
| Is the tank marked with design & working capacities and tank ID number? | | | + | + | | |
| Y/N | N | | - | - | - | - |
| 1 (incomplete label) | 1 | | - | | - | |
| Is a solenoid or equivalent valve in place for gravity- | Y | | | | | |
| fed motor fuel dispensers? Y / N / X (AST system not storing motor fuel OR | N | | | | | |
| dispensers not gravity-fed) | X | | | | | |
| 1 (inoperable) | 1 | | | | | |
| 2 (not adjacent to and downstream from the operating valve) | 2 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| . Is a check valve in place for pump-filled ASTs with | Y | | | | | |
| remote fills? | N | | _ | | | |
| Y/N | | - | | | - | - |
| X (no remote fill) | X | | | | - | |
| 1 (inoperable) | 1 | | _ | | - | |
| . Is an operating valve in place on every line with | Y | | | | _ | |
| gravity head? Y/N | N | | | | | |
| X (no gravity head on line) | X | | | | | |
| 1 (inoperable) | 1 | | | | - | |
| 3. Are monthly inspections being performed? | Y | | | | | |
| Y | N | | | | | |
| N | 1 | _ | 1 | | | |
| 1 (inadequate inspection) 2 (no records; not maintained for 3 years) | 2 | | | - | | |
| | | - | | | + | |
| Are ten-year inspections (internal inspections or tightness tests) for Cat. 1 systems being conducted? | Y | _ | | | + | |
| Y / N | N | | | _ | _ | _ |
| X (not required per Part 613-4.3(a)(1)(iii) OR | X | | | | | |
| Cat. 2/3 AST system) | 1 | | | | | 7 |
| 1 (inadequate inspection) 2 (test report not submitted) | 2 | | | | | |
| 3 (no records; not maintained for 10 years) | 3 | | | | | 9.0 |
| D. D the facility conduct tightness testing at tan year | Y | | | | | |
| Does the facility conduct tightness testing at ten-year intervals for underground piping installed before | | | - | _ | | - |
| 12/27/86? | N | | - | | _ | - |
| Y/N | X | | | | | |
| X (piping installed on or after 12/27/86; not underground) | 1 | | | | | 7 |
| 1 (no records; not maintained for 10 years) | | | | | | |



Suffolk County Department of Health Services Division of Environmental Quality Office of Pollution Control

Addendum Sent by Mail 3/5/2019

Toxic/Hazardous Material Storage Notice of Compliance Status

| | Name: | | Northport Middle | | Facility Reference #: _ | 01930 | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Address: | 12 Mic | ddleville F | Rd, Northport, N | Y 11768 | | | | |
| The refer | renced fac | cility was ins NYCRR Part 6 | pected on 2/20/20 | 10 | ce with Articles 7 and 12 of t | he Suffolk County | | |
| ☐ The fac | ility was fo | und to be in co | ompliance. | | | | | |
| | | | 1 -1 4 | ill be notified of status for | following an office review. | | | |
| ✓ The foll | lowing viol | ations were no | ted during the inspection: | | | | | |
| SC Tank# | | Violations Violation List) Violation | Other Violations | , , | Inspection Notes | | | |
| 7 | 23P | 3 | Art. 2, Sec. 205 | - No access to #2 Fue | el Oil tank piping sump. Please | call | | |
| | | , , | | (631) 854-2504 to a | rrange a re-inspection. | | | |
| 5 & 6 | 40P | 1 | 1210.G.3 | - Dispenser sumps ar | e metal with Bravo Boxes. Bra | vo Box cathodic | | |
| | | | | protection system no | ot tested. | 15 | | |
| | | | , | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | , • | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | *************************************** | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Correction Consistent we with any law Department of Violations of \$37,500.00 p | ns are mad with Article 1 of wful notice, of of Health Server f 6 NYCRR 1 our violation pent complia | e. of the New York order, provision of vices may be subje PART 613 (New er day as set forth ance status do | State Public Health Law, Articler regulation of the Suffolk Council to the imposition of a civil per York State Petroleum Bulk Stain Article 71 of the Environmentes not necessarily resolves. | e 2 of the Suffolk County San anty Sanitary Code, the State nalty not to exceed two thousa orage Regulations) shall be s tal Conservation Law. e existing or pending e | nitary Code provides that any violation e Sanitary Code or the Commissioner and (\$2,000) for a single violation. Subject to civil, administrative and/or conforcement actions brought by | of or failure to comply of the Suffolk County criminal penalties up to y the Department. | | |
| | | | orrell | Inspector Sig | nature: <u>Joseph VII</u> | - Leve | | |
| Facility R | epresentativ | ve Name: | | Facility Repr | esentative Signature: | | | |
| 4 | A | | | | | | | |





Suffolk County Department of Health Services Division of Environmental Quality Office of Pollution Control

Toxic/Hazardous Material Storage Notice of Compliance Status

| And the status | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Facility Name: Northport Middle School Facility Reference #: 01930 Address: 11 Middleville Rd, Northport, NY 11768 | | | | | |
| Address: 11 Middleville Rd, Northport, NY 11768 | | | | | |
| The referenced facility was inspected on $\frac{2/20/2019}{20/2019}$ for compliance with Articles 7 and 12 of the Suffolk County Sanitary Code and 6NYCRR Part 613. | | | | | |
| The facility was found to be in compliance. | | | | | |
| ☐ Compliance status could not be determined onsite. You will be notified of status following an office review. ☐ The following violations were noted during the inspection: | | | | | |
| DDC Violations | | | | | |
| SC Tank# | (see PBS V | iolation List) Violation | Other Violations | Inspection Notes | |
| 7 | 238 | 3 | Act 2 Lev 205 | · No access to #2 Feel OI Tank piphs | |
| | | | (1) 1,2, 9,00 | Una Please called (631) \$54-2504 to | |
| | | | | schedule a re-hipection | |
| 97546 | SAP | 4 | 1217.0 | · Dupenser sumps are netal. No record | |
| | | | | of Bravo Box carbodi protection | |
| | | | | surley test. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| All violations must be corrected promptly. Keep records demonstrating correction of violations and contact Department when corrections are made. | | | | | |
| Consistent with Article 1 of the New York State Public Health Law, Article 2 of the Suffolk County Sanitary Code provides that any violation of or failure to comply with any lawful notice, order, provision or regulation of the Suffolk County Sanitary Code, the State Sanitary Code or the Commissioner of the Suffolk County | | | | | |
| Department of Health Services may be subject to the imposition of a civil penalty not to exceed two thousand (\$2,000) for a single violation. Violations of 6 NYCRR PART 613 (New York State Petroleum Bulk Storage Regulations) shall be subject to civil, administrative and/or criminal penalties up to \$37,500.00 per violation per day as set forth in Article 71 of the Environmental Conservation Law. | | | | | |
| The current compliance status does not necessarily resolve existing or pending enforcement actions brought by the Department. | | | | | |
| Inspector Name: Joseph Worrell Inspector Signature: My Miles | | | | | |
| Facility Representative Name: JOHN KOHRO WAS Facility Representative Signature: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

